SUPREME COURT MINUTES THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2009 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S034800

PEOPLE v. DE HOYOS (RICHARD LUCIO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Annie Featherman Fraser's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by March 15, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 16, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S039894

PEOPLE v. SATTIEWHITE (CHRISTOPHER JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Peter Hensley's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by January 6, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 3, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S057242

PEOPLE v. SPENCER (CHRISTOPHER ALAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Emry J. Allen's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by early 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November3, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S067392

PEOPLE v. FREDERICKSON (DANIEL CARL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Holly D. Wilkens's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by January 10, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 9, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S092240

PEOPLE v. BOYCE (KEVIN DEWAYN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Douglas Ward's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by mid-December 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 16, 2009. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S115284

PEOPLE v. TRINH (DUNG DINH ANH)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 16, 2009.

S119296

PEOPLE v. BATTLE (THOMAS LEE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 10, 2009.

S143058

RICCARDI (JOHN ALEXANDER) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Carla J. Johnson's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 8, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 8, 2009. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S161608

FUIAVA (FREDDIE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael Satris's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 25, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 26, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S162508

ALLEN (MICHAEL DAMONE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Stephen S. Buckley's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 1, 2009, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 9, 2009. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S164614 C057766 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. S.C. (SPARKS)

Extension of time granted

On application of real party in interest and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to September 21, 2009. No further extensions of time will be granted.

S167453

LENART (THOMAS HOWARD) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Wesley A. Van Winkle's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by February 6, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 3, 2009. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S175532

UTILITY CONSUMERS'
ACTION NETWORK v.
CALIFORNIA PUBLIC
UTILITIES COMMISSION
(SAN DIEGO GAS &
ELECTRIC COMPANY)

Extension of time granted

On application of Real Party in Interest California Independent System Operator Corporation, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file answers to the petitions for writ of review is extended to October 9, 2009, or 35 days from September 4, 2009, the date the Fourth District Court of Appeal filed its order denying the Commission's transfer request.

S175955 B206102 Second Appellate District, Div. 4

PALMER/SIXTH STREET PROPERTIES, LP v. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

Extension of time granted

On application of Respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondents' Answer to Petition for Review is extended to September 28, 2009.

S054774

PEOPLE v. TAYLOR (KEITH DESMOND)

Order filed

Good cause appearing, and pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.520(f)(2) the application of the Habeas Corpus Resource Center to file an amicus curiae letter brief is granted. Any answer thereto may be served and filed by any party on or before September 30, 2009.

S068536

PEOPLE v. MCWHORTER (RICHARD)

Order filed

Good cause appearing, the application of the California State Public Defenders Association for leave to file a request for modification of the opinion is granted.

S115894

STITELY (RICHARD) ON H.C.

Order filed

The order filed on September 9, 2009, is hereby amended to read in its entirety.

The Director of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause in this court, when the matter is placed on calendar, why petitioner is not entitled to relief on the ground that he is mentally retarded within the meaning of *Atkins v. Virginia* (2002) 536 U.S. 304 (see also *In re Hawthorne* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 40), as alleged in Claim IX of the petition for writ of habeas corpus filed on May 15, 2003.

All other claims, except Claim XIII, are denied on the merits.

Claim XIII is denied as premature, without prejudice to renewal after an execution date is set. Kennard and Chin, JJ., are of the opinion an order to show cause should also be issued on the ground that his mental retardation rendered his incriminating statements to police involuntary and unreliable, as alleged in Claim I.

S115894

STITELY (RICHARD) ON H.C.

Order filed

Due to a clerical error, the order filed on September 10, 2009, is hereby amended to read in its entirety as follows.

The Director of the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation is ordered to show cause in the Los Angeles County Superior Court, when the matter is placed on calendar, why petitioner is not entitled to relief on the ground that he is mentally retarded within the meaning of *Atkins v*.

Virginia (2002) 536 U.S. 304 (see also *In re Hawthorne* (2005) 35 Cal.4th 40), as alleged in Claim IX of the petition for writ of habeas corpus filed on May 15, 2003.

All other claims, except Claim XIII, are denied on the merits.

Claim XIII is denied as premature, without prejudice to renewal after an execution date is set. Kennard and Chin, JJ., are of the opinion an order to show cause should also be issued on the ground that mental retardation rendered petitioner's incriminating statements to police involuntary and unreliable, as alleged in Claim I.

S176198 A126020 First Appellate District, Div. 3 WELLS (JONATHON) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Order filed

To allow consideration of the petition for review filed herein, trial in *People v. Jonathon Wells*, San Francisco County Superior Court No. 202537, is hereby stayed pending further order of this court.

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 **TRANSFER ORDERS**

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, are transferred from Division Two to Division One:

1. 2.	E048085 E048225	People v. Rahsaan Hanif Greene People v. Kenden Masaaki Ige
3.	E047900	People v. Roderick Piggee, Jr.
4.	E048067	People v. Reggie Bullock et al.
5.	E048055	People v. Randolph Zane
6.	E048138	People v. Anthony McCollum
7.	E048091	People v. Hector Miguel Aguirre
8.	E048143	People v. Melwatt Morehead
9.	E048216	People v. Juan Manuel Contreras
10.	E048165	People v. Daniel Lee Foreman

S166894

SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA ORAL ARGUMENT CALENDAR LOS ANGELES SESSION OCTOBER 6, 2009

FIRST AMENDED

The following cases are placed upon the calendar of the Supreme Court for hearing at its courtroom in the Ronald Reagan State Office Building, 300 South Spring Street, Third Floor, North Tower, Los Angeles, California on October 6, 2009.

TUESDAY, OCTOBER 6, 2009—9:00 A.M.

S157341	Lexin et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County	
	(The People, Real Party in Interest) [To be called and	
	continued to November 2009 calendar]	
S156598	Brown, Winfield & Canzoneri, Inc. v. Superior Court of Los	
	Angeles County (Great American Ins. Co., Real Party in Interest)	
S155556	In re Phoenix H. et al.	
S054372	People v. Ervine (Dennis) [Automatic Appeal]	

1:30 P.M.

2100071	respie (resimison (rimotily)
S068230	People v. Butler (Raymond Oscar) [Automatic Appeal]
S054774	People v. Taylor (Keith Desmond) [Automatic Appeal]

People v. Johnson (Timothy)

If exhibits are to be transmitted to this court, counsel must apply to the court for permission. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.224(c).)

Chief Justice